

Resilience Scale

A metaphor for social determinants of wellbeing, and resilience as outcome





The story you're telling:

A positive child outcome is like a scale that is tipped toward one side. It can be influenced by counterbalancing weights and by adjusting the balance point.



Strategic way to redirect thinking away from patterns such as:

Black Box of Development; Determinism; Family Bubble; Most Kids Turn Out Fine No Matter What; What Doesn't Kill You Makes You Stronger; Willpower



Concepts and ideas included in this frame element:

- Child outcomes whether children turn out well or not can be likened to a scale that is tipped toward one side or another: Sets up mechanistic/process thinking about outcomes.
- The weight placed on a scale or teeter-totter affects the direction it tips: Establishes the role of external, environmental factors, and frames resilient outcomes as a dynamic process. Focuses attention on environmental and contextual influences, away from individual choices.
- Positive experiences and influences that help health and development get placed on one side: Communicates the role of factors that promote development – be sure to enumerate.
- Negative experiences or influences that aren't good for development get placed on the other: Communicates the role of risk factors and adverse experiences; give examples here.
- Weights can be added to or taken from either side at any time: Helps to establish that
 outcomes are open to influence and intervention, and development is an ongoing process.
- Not all objects placed on the scale are the same weight: Opens up a way to communicate about strong predictors vs. relatively minor influences, whether negative or positive.
- The goal of every community is to have as many kids as possible experience positive



Resilience Scale

A metaphor for social determinants of wellbeing, and resilience as outcome



Concepts and ideas included in this frame element:

outcomes – which we can accomplish by stacking positive factors, and offloading negative factors, so that scales tip toward the positive: Orients attention toward development as a process that can be influenced by the community, through widespread supports and interventions.

- When the scale tips positive even though it's stacked with negative weight, that's resilience:
 Defines resilience as an unexpected outcome influenced by multiple factors not just any
 good outcome, and not the result of individuals overcoming circumstances through sheer
 force of will.
- What about individual differences? Well, there's another part of a scale the fulcrum, or balance point: Acknowledges, but limits, the role of individual-level influences (genes, biology, temperament, etc.).
- Children start out with their fulcrums in different places. If the fulcrum is way over to this
 side, that makes the scale more likely to tip that way: Communicates the scientific view of
 the role of individual differences in sensitivity to influences.
- It's not just the weight on one side, or the other, or the fulcrum that determines the
 direction of the tip it's all of these: Helps to communicate that multiple, interacting
 influences affect outcomes; offers a way to establish distinct but interacting roles for external
 (environments, experiences) as well as internal (genetic, biological, personal) factors.
- The fulcrum isn't fixed it can shift based on experiences. We can build children's abilities
 to withstand negative experiences. Helps to establish a rationale for targeted interventions for
 children and populations at risk for negative outcomes, to build skills and abilities that support
 resilient outcomes.